Assignment 4 - Solutions

Introduction to Linear Algebra

Material from Lectures 6 and 7 Due Thursday, February 2, 2023

- 6.2 ($\mathbf{\mathcal{F}}2.02$) Let **u**, **v**, **w** be three different vectors in a vector space V. Consider the three spans $S_1 = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\}), S_2 = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\})$ and $S_3 = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\}).$
	- (a) Show that $S_1 \subseteq S_2$.
	- (b) Show that $S_3 \subseteq S_2$.
	- (c) For $V = \mathbb{R}^3$, given an example of $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ for which $S_2 = S_3$.
	- (d) For $V = \mathbb{R}^3$, given an example of $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ for which all of S_1, S_2, S_3 are different.
	- (a) To show this, we need to show that every element in S_1 can be expressed an element in S_2 . An arbitrary element of S_1 looks like $a(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v})$ for some $a \in \mathbf{R}$. An arbitrary element of S_2 looks like $b\mathbf{u} + c\mathbf{v} + d\mathbf{w}$ for some $b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}$. For the choices $b = a$, $c = -a$, and $d = 0$, we get

$$
b\mathbf{u} + c\mathbf{v} + d\mathbf{w} = a\mathbf{u} + (-a)\mathbf{v} + 0\mathbf{w} = a(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}),
$$

which was the arbitrary element of S_1 that we began with. Therefore $S_1 \subseteq S_2$.

(b) To show this, we need to show that every element in S_3 can be expressed an element in S_2 . An arbitrary element of S_3 looks like $a(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w})$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$. An arbitrary element of S_2 looks like $c\mathbf{u} + d\mathbf{v} + e\mathbf{w}$ for some $c, d, e \in \mathbf{R}$. For the choice $c = a, d = a + b$, and $d = b$, we get

$$
c\mathbf{u} + d\mathbf{v} + e\mathbf{w} = a\mathbf{u} + (a+b)\mathbf{v} + b\mathbf{w} = a(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}),
$$

which was the arbitrary element of S_3 that we began with. Therefore $S_3 \subseteq S_2$.

(c) There are lots of examples, with the key being that one of $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ must be a linear combination of the other two. For example, we could choose

$$
\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Since $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v}$ (that is, **u** is a linear combination of **v** and **w**), it follows that $S_2 = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}) = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\})$. Since $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}$ (by how the vectors are defined) and $(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}$ (that is, $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}$ is a linear combination of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w}), it follows that $S_3 = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\}) = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\})$. Hence $S_2 = S_3$.

(d) There are lots of examples, with the key being that none of $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ can be linear combinations of the other two. For example, we could choose

$$
\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The vector $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ \int is not in the span of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so $S_1 \neq S_2$. The vector $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ i is not in the span of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$], so $S_3 \neq S_2$. Finally, the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ \int is not in the span of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ i , so $S_1 \neq \overline{S_3}$.

- **6.3** ($\mathbf{\mathcal{F}}(2.01)$ Consider the set X of all functions $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$.
	- (a) If addition on X is defined as $(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ and multiplication is defined as $(cf)(x) = f(cx)$, show that X can not be a vector space.
	- (b) If multiplication is instead defined as $(cf)(x) = cf(x)$, and addition is instead defined as $(f+g)(x) = f(g(x))$ show that X still can not be a vector space.

Hint: Show X is not a vector space with examples!

(a) This is not a vector space, because scalar multiplication is not distributive over field addition. For example, if $f(x) = x^2 - 1$, $a = 3$, $b = -2$, then

$$
(a+b)f(x) = (3 + (-2))f(x) = 1f(x) = f(1x) = x^2 - 1,
$$

\n
$$
af(x) + bf(x) = 3f(x) + (-2)f(x) = f(3x) + f(-2x) = (3x)^2 - 1 + (-2x)^2 - 1
$$

\n
$$
= 9x^2 + 4x^2 - 2 = 13x^2 - 2,
$$

and these are clearly not the same function.

(b) This is not a vector space, because addition is not commutative. For example, if $f(x) = x^2$ and $g(x) = 2x$, then

$$
f + g = f(g(x)) = f(2x) = 4x2,
$$

$$
g + f = g(f(x)) = g(x2) = 2x2,
$$

which are clearly not the same function.

7.1 (**F**1.12) Consider the matrix
$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 8 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$
.

- (a) Construct the column space of A as a span of three vectors.
- (b) Construct the nullspace of A as a span of vectors.

We take advantage of the fact that there is a 3×3 identity matrix in columns 3-5.

(a) The column space of A is the span of all the columns of A. Columns 1,2,6 can each be constructed as linear combinations of columns 3,4,5, in the sense of

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 8 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -3 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix} = 9 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 6 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 9 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Hence the column space of A is

$$
\text{col}(A) = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2\\0\\8 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 9\\-3\\-6 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 9\\-3\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right).
$$

(b) Consider columns 3,4,5 as our pivot columns. That is, columns 1,2,6 are free columns. The first free column gives us the first vector generating the nullspace, as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 8 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ -8 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The second free column gives us the second vector, as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 8 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -9 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

And the third free column gives us the third vector, as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 8 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -9 \\ 3 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

These are all linearly independent, as witnessed by their rows 1,2,6, which are zeros for all but exactly one vector. Hence

$$
\text{null}(A) = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ -8 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -9 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -9 \\ 3 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right).
$$

7.6 (\bigoplus 1.13) Let X be a set of 2 × 2 matrices defined in the following way:

- \bullet $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in X$
- if $M \in X$, then $MM^T \in X$
- if $M, N \in X$, then $aM + bN \in X$, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

Using scalar multiplication and matrix addition as in $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}$, show that X is a vector subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}$.

Hint: Using the given facts, try to construct the four special matrices that generate $\mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}$. The "four special matrices" mentioned are $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. We construct each of them using the three given rules.

The first and second rule with $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ give $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in X$. The third rule with $a = 1, M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $b = -1, N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ gives $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in X$. The second rule with $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ gives $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in X$. The third rule with $a = 1, M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $b = -1, N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ gives $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in X$. We have now shown one of the four special matrices is in X .

The third rule with $a = 1, M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $b = -1, N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ gives $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in X$. We have now shown two of the four special matrices are in X.

The third rule with $a = 1, M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, b = -1, N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ gives $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in X$. The third rule with $a = 1, M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $b = -1, N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ gives $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in X$. The second rule with $M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ gives $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in X$.

The third rule with $a = 1, M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $b = -1, N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ gives $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in X$.

We have now shown three of the four special matrices are in X.

The third rule with $a = 1, M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $b = -1, N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ gives $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in X$. We have now shown all of the four special matrices are in X.

We now claim that $X = \mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}$. Indeed, any matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}$ can be constructed using rule 3 three times:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \left(b \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \left(c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + d \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right).
$$

Similarly, X is closed under scalar multiplcation by rule 3 using any number for a and $b = 0$. The existence of the additive inverse and the additive identity follow as X is equal to $M_{2\times 2}$ as sets. Therefore X is a vector subspace of $M_{2\times 2}$, in fact it is equal to $M_{2\times 2}$.