

Let  $M$  be a compact smooth  $m$ -manifold embedded in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . Let  $X$  be a topological space. Recall the following concepts:

- $\text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M) = \{P \subset M : 0 < |P| \leq n\}$
- $\text{Ran}^{\leq n}(\{U_i\}_{i \in I}) = \{P \in \text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M) : P \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i, P \cap U_i \neq \emptyset \forall i\}$
- the topology on  $\text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M)$  is the coarsest topology for which all  $\text{Ran}(\{U_i\}_{i \in I})$  are open, for every nonempty finite collection of pairwise disjoint open sets
- $2d(P, Q) = \sup_{p \in P} \inf_{q \in Q} d(p, q) + \sup_{q \in Q} \sup_{p \in P} d(p, q)$ . Hausdorff distance is max of two terms

We also have some categories:

- $\text{Sing}(X)$  is the category of continuous functions  $\gamma : \Delta_{top}^n \rightarrow X$  and face / degeneracy maps
  - subcategory  $\text{Sing}^A(X)$
- $\text{Shv}(X)$  is the category of sheaves and sheaf morphisms
  - subcategory  $\text{Shv}^A(X)$

## 0.1 Stratifying the Ran space

**Definition:** A (poset) stratification of  $X$  is a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow A$ , where  $A$  is a poset. A constructible sheaf over  $f : X \rightarrow A$  is a sheaf over  $X$  that is locally constant on every stratum  $X_a = \{x \in X : f(x) = a\}$ .

**Motivation:** Consider the space  $X = \text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M) \times \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $SC$ , the collection of all ordered simplicial complexes (so  $\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{(1\ 2)\}\}$  is not the same as  $\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{(2\ 3)\}\}$ ). There is a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} f : X &\rightarrow SC, \\ (P, t) &\mapsto VR(P, t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $VR(P, t)$  is the Vietoris–Rips complex of radius  $t$  around the points of  $P$ . It seems like there should be a constructible sheaf over  $X$  valued in simplicial complexes. Let's try to build it!

**Construction 1:** We begin by defining a stratification. Let  $A = \{S \in SC : |V(S)| \leq n\}$  and define a relation  $\leq$  on  $A$  by

$$(S \leq T) \iff \left( \begin{array}{l} \exists \sigma \in \text{Sing}(X)_1 \text{ such that} \\ f(\sigma(0)) = S, f(\sigma(t > 0)) = T. \end{array} \right)$$

Let  $(A, \leq)$  be the poset generated by relations of the type given above, which makes  $f : X \times \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow A$  a stratification. To see this, take the open set  $U_S = \{T \in A : S \leq T\}$  in the basis of the upwards directed topology of  $A$ , for any  $S \in A$ , and consider  $(P, t) \in f^{-1}(U_S)$ . If for all  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $B_\epsilon^X(P, t) \cap f^{-1}(U_S)^C \neq \emptyset$ , then for any such  $\epsilon$  there exists  $T_\epsilon \in A$  with  $B_\epsilon^X(P, t) \cap f^{-1}(T_\epsilon) \neq \emptyset$ , for  $S \not\leq T_\epsilon$  (as  $T_\epsilon \notin U_S$ ). This means there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Sing}(X)_1$  with  $\sigma(0) = (P, t)$  and  $\sigma(t > 0) \in f^{-1}(T_\epsilon)$ , which in turn implies  $S \leq T_\epsilon$ , a contradiction. Hence  $f$  is continuous, so  $f : X \rightarrow A$  is a stratification.

**Problem:** This defines what an  $SC$ -valued constructible sheaf could be on  $X$  by giving the value at all the stalks, but the extension to open sets is not clear. Comparing simplices is hard, because there is no vertex order.

**Partial solution 1:** Instead use  $f$  on  $(M^{\times k} \setminus \Delta_k) \times \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ , and define  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  to be the subset of  $\Delta_{top}^k$  containing a simplex  $\sigma$  if there is at least one  $(P, t) \in U$  with  $\sigma \in VR(P, t)$  (note the vertices must be ordered for this to be well-defined). Then we can push the sheaf forward through the quotient map

$$(M^{\times k} \setminus \Delta_k) \times \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \xrightarrow{S_k} \text{Ran}^k(M) \times \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

But this gives sheaf only on one piece of  $\text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M) \times \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ , not the whole thing.

**Partial solution 2:** Use Lurie's equivalence  $\text{Shv}^A(X) \cong \text{Fun}(\text{Sing}^A(X), \mathcal{S})$ . A first hurdle is all the new terminology. Also, there are conditions for this to work, in increasing order of restrictiveness:

- $A$  satisfies the ascending chain condition.
- $X$  is paracompact (compact is sufficient),
- $X$  is locally of singular shape (locally contractible is sufficient), and
- the  $A$ -stratification of  $X$  is *conical*.

The first three hold, but  $f$  is not conical, as strata change without changing dimension.

**Simplification:** Try a simpler space which may have a nice stratification. Let  $X = \text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M)$  instead.

**Construction 2:** Let  $A = \{1, \dots, n\}$  with the natural order and  $f : X \rightarrow A$  be given by  $P \mapsto |P|$ . To check that this is continuous, we need that  $\text{Ran}^{\geq k}(M)$  is open in  $\text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M)$  for all  $0 < k \leq n$ . This is true:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ran}^n(M) \subseteq \text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M) \text{ is open} &\implies \text{Ran}^{\leq n-1}(M) \subseteq \text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M) \text{ is closed} \\ &\implies \text{Ran}^{\leq n-2}(M) \subseteq \text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M) \text{ is closed} \\ &\implies \text{Ran}^{\leq k}(M) \subseteq \text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M) \text{ is closed, for all } 0 < k \leq n \\ &\implies \text{Ran}^{\geq k}(M) \subseteq \text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M) \text{ is open, for all } 0 < k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

First three conditions satisfied. Need to check conical property.

## 0.2 Conical stratifications

**Definition:** A stratified space  $f : X \rightarrow A$  is *conically stratified at  $x$*  if there exist:

- a topological space  $Z$ ,
- a stratified space  $g : Y \rightarrow A_{>f(x)}$ ,
- an open embedding  $Z \times C(Y) \hookrightarrow X$  whose image contains  $x$ .

There is a natural stratification  $g' : C(Y) \rightarrow A_{\geq f(x)}$ , given by  $g'(Y, 0) = f(x)$  and  $g'(y, t \neq 0) = g(y)$ . The product  $Z \times C(Y)$  also has a natural  $A_{\geq f(x)}$ -stratification by ignoring the  $Z$  factor. Here “open embedding” means “embedding whose image is open”.

**Construction:** We will check that  $f : X \rightarrow A$  is conically stratified at every  $P = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$ . Set

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \min_{i < j} d(P_i, P_j), \quad Z = \prod_{i=1}^k oB_{\epsilon}^{\mathbf{R}^m}(0), \quad Y = \prod_{\substack{\sum \ell_i = n \\ \sum t_i = \epsilon}} \prod_{i=1}^k \left\{ Q \in \text{Ran}^{\ell_i}(cB_{t_i}^{\mathbf{R}^m}(0)) : \mathbf{d}(0, Q) = t_i, \sum Q_j = 0 \right\}.$$

Both  $Z, Y$  are topological spaces. The first condition on elements of  $Y$  is the *cone condition*, which ensures the right topology at the cone point in  $C(Y)$ . The second condition on  $Y$  is the *centroid condition*, which

ensures that the point to which 0 maps to is the centroid of points splitting off it, so that we don't overcount when multiplying by  $Z$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : C(Y) \times Z &\rightarrow X, \\ \left( \text{Ran}^{\ell_i}(cB_{t_i}^{\mathbf{R}^m}(0)), t, R \right) &\mapsto \text{Ran}^{\ell_i}(cB_{t_i}^M(R_i)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $t \in [0, 1)$  is the cone component and  $R = \{R_1, \dots, R_k\} \in Z$  is an element of  $\text{Ran}^k(M)$  near  $P$ . It is sufficient to describe where the  $\text{Ran}^{\ell_i}$  map to, as every  $Q$  inside it is only scaled by  $t$ . That is,  $Q$  at a distance  $t_i$  from 0 maps to  $\varphi(Q)$  at a distance  $tt_i$  from  $R_i$ , by scaling every component  $Q_j$  by  $t$ , then changing the center 0 to  $R_i$ .

The map  $\varphi$  is continuous by construction, injective by the centroid condition, and a homeomorphism onto its image by the cone condition. Hence  $\varphi$  is an embedding, and since the image is open, it is an open embedding.

### 0.3 Larger picture

**Observation:** The space  $\text{Ran}^{\leq n}(M) \times \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  was not conically stratified at the boundary between strata in the same dimension. Put in new stratum of one dim lower as boundary, representing when  $t = d(P_i, P_j)$  in  $(P, t)$ . But then:

- What will the stratifying poset be?
- Boundary has to be ordered lower than original strata (because of cone point), seem to lose structure.
  - Why should more edges be “higher” than less edges?
  - Is there a general order on simplicial complexes with unordered vertices? What is “more structure?”
- Maybe stratify  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  alone, then take product of stratified spaces?